

Form PTO-1449 (Modified) LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (Use several sheets if necessary)	Atty Docket No. SCHWP0212US	Serial No. 10/771,545
	Applicant: Raghavan et al.	
	Filing Date February 1, 2004	Group 2624

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS


Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date (MM/YYYY)	Name	Class	Sub-class	Filing Date if Appropriate
DK	5,205,289	04/1993	Hardy et al.			
	5,720,720	02/1998	Laske et al.			
	5,583,902	12/1996	Bae			
	5,735,814	04/1998	Elsberry et al.			
	5,919,135	07/1999	Lemelson			
	5,964,705	10/1999	Truwit et al.			
	6,026,316	02/2000	Kucharczyk et al.			
	6,061,587	05/2000	Kucharczyk et al.			
	6,293,282	09/2001	Lemelson			
	6,464,662	10/2002	Raghavan et al.			
	6,549,803	09/2001	Lemelson			
	6,572,579	06/2003	Raghavan et al.			
	7,195,761	03/2007	Holtzman et al.			
	7,266,227	09/2007	Pedain et al.			
	2002/0010384	01/2002	Shahidi et al.			
	2004/0006259	01/2004	Pedain			
	2002/0168618	11/2002	Anderson et al.			
	2003/0028090	02/2003	Raghavan et al.			
	2004/0138551	07/2004	Hartlep et al.			
	2005/0186544	08/2005	Raghavan et al.			
	2004/0043418	03/2004	Holtzman et al.			
	2004/0215143	10/2004	Brady et al.			
	2004/0236554	11/2004	Raghavan et al.			
	11/115,093	04/2005	Birkenbach et al.			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date (MM/YYYY)	Country	Class	Sub-class	Translation	
						Yes	No
1/12	00 71169	11/2000	WO				
	01 85230	11/2001	WO				
	0 702 966	03/1996	EP				
	91/18552	12/1991	WO				
	0 904 735	03/1999	EP				
	01/67979	09/2001	WO				
	02/061371	08/2002	WO				

OTHER ART

Examiner Initial	Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.

EXAMINER 	DATE CONSIDERED 2/25/08
---	-------------------------

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance with not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Information Disclosure Statement PTO-1449 (Modified)

The identification of any reference is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that such publication, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given reference may have a later effective date than first seems apparent or the reference may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any reference is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.

M:\SISCHW\IP\0212\0212US-IDS.wpd (IDS1449.FRM) (2/97)